



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/237,291	01/25/1999	JUDY CAROL YOUNG	SYS-2068	9391
1095	7590 11/03/2005		EXAMINER	
NOVARTI	-	MONTANARI, DAVID A		
CORPORATE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ONE HEALTH PLAZA 104/3			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
EAST HANOVER, NJ 07936-1080			1632	
			DATE MAILED: 11/03/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/237,291	YOUNG ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		David Montanari	1632			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replayeriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statureply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing apart term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinoly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>8/8/2005</u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	/-					
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 49	53 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>18-20,23-27,31-34,37-43,46,47 and 52</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
·	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) <u>18-20,23-27,31-34,37-43,46,47 and 52</u> is/are rejected.					
·						
·	☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
	•					
	on Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 March 2004</u> is/are: a) ⊠ accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
10)[·- · · · ·	•			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	, .			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 						
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not receive	ed.			
Attachmen		n □	(DTO 442)			
2) Notice 3) Information	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 or No(s)/Mail Date	4)				

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Applicants arguments and amendments filed on August 8th, 2005 have been entered.
- 2. Claims 48-51 are newly cancelled.
- 3. Rejection of claims 18, 23, 37, 43 under 35 USC 112, first paragraph is withdrawn.
- 4. Rejection of claims 18-20, 23-27, 31-34, 37-43, 46-47, and 52 under 35 USC 103(a) is withdrawn.
- 5. Claims 18-20, 23-27, 31-34, 37-43, 46-47, and 52 are examined in the instant application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 18-20, 23-27, 31-34, 37-43, 46-47, and 52 are newly rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dao et al. (01/15/1997, Blood, Vol. 89, pgs. 446-456) in view of Young et al. (09/01/1996, Blood, Vol. 88, pgs. 1619-1631).

Claims 18-20, 23-27, 31-34, 37-43, 46-47, and 52 are drawn to a method for obtaining genetically modified human pluripotent hematopoietic stem cells, comprising contacting a vector comprising a polynucleotide sequence encoding a heterologous gene with a population of human pluripotent hematopoietic stem cells cultured with fibronectin and in the presence of an effective amount of a mpl ligand and a f1t3 ligand, each ligand provided in a concentration range of about 0.1 ng/mL to about 500 ng/mL, wherein said vector is selected from the group consisting of

Art Unit: 1632

retroviral vectors, adenoviral vectors, and adeno-associated viral vectors and wherein said human pluripotent hematopoietic stem cells are CD34⁺ Thy-1⁺ Lin⁻ cells and can differentiate into any hematopoietic cell type; and obtaining said modified human pluripotent hematopoietic stem cells.

Dao et al. teach human CD34+ progenitor cells transduced with retrovirus and cultured with FTL3 ligand (FL), to test whether FL might be able to replace the maintenance role provided by stromal support (pg. 446, Abstract). Dao continues to teach that said cells where cultured in the presence of interleukin-3 (IL-3), IL-6, and stem cell factor (SCF), and that the retrovirus contained the bacterial neomyocin resistance gene (pg. 446 Abstract, and pg. 447, col. 2 parag. 4 lines 1-9). Dao obtained transduced CD34+ cells and were examined with colony-forming assay (pg. 448, Fig. 1 and Table 1). Dao continues that "the combination of fibronectin, to enhance gene transfer, and FL, to support progenitor survival, may ultimately replace the use of patient-derived stromal layers" (pg. 455, col. 1 parag. 2).

Young et al. teach pluripotent CD34⁺ Thy-1 Lin⁻ cells cultured with stromal support and thrombopoietin (TPO, an mpl ligand) and c-kit ligand (pg. 1620 col. 2 parag. 1). Young et al. continue to teach that TPO stimulated division of CD34⁺ Thy-1 Lin⁻ cells, and further enhanced division when combined with IL-3 or c-kit ligand (pg. 1621 Fig. 1). Young continues that when TPO was combined with IL-3, cell production was enhanced 3-fold compared to TPO alone (pg. 1625, col. 1 lines 4-9). Young continues that "to transduce hematopoietic stem cells with exogenous gene using retroviral vectors, there is a requirement to induce cycling of quiescent cells without inducing differentiation. The effect we demonstrated here, that TPO activates PHP

Art Unit: 1632

into cycle, has important implications for gene transduction into hematopoietic stem cells" (pg. 1630 col. 1 last parag. bridge col. 2 lines 1-2).

Thus the ordinary artisan would have been motivated at the time of filing to modify the method of Dao et al. in view of the teachings of Young et al. to use CD34⁺ Thy-l⁺ Lin⁻ cells to be transduced with a retrovirus vector and cultured with both a flt3 ligand and a mpl ligand with fibronectin given Dao teaching that FL in combination with fibronectin may eliminate the need for stromal support. Further motivation is provide by Young teaching that CD34⁺ Thy-1⁺ Lin⁻ cells cultured with TPO have enhanced cell production, and that TPO can aid in retrovirusmediated transduction of hematopoietic stem cells. Thus the combined teachings of Dao and Young would both motivate and teach the ordinary artisan to make and use the claimed invention.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 18-20, 23-27, 31-34, 37-43, 46-47, and 52 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Montanari whose telephone number is 1-571-272-3108. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5:30.

Application/Control Number: 09/237,291

Art Unit: 1632

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ram Shukla can be reached on 1-571-272-0735. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David A. Montanari, PhD

RAM R. SHUKLA, PH.D. SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER